From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK

To: Martinez, Jacquelynn
Subject: FW: CrR/CrRJ 4.11

**Date:** Friday, April 7, 2023 8:52:42 AM

From: Jake Clark < jclarkattorney@yahoo.com>

Sent: Friday, April 7, 2023 6:28 AM

To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK < SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>

Subject: CrR/CrRJ 4.11

**External Email Warning!** This email has originated from outside of the Washington State Courts Network. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting the email, and know the content is safe. If a link sends you to a website where you are asked to validate using your Account and Password, **DO NOT DO SO!** Instead, report the incident.

## please consider my comments in support:

•

- CrR/RLJ 3.4 has created substantial efficiency for courts and litigants because routine
  continuance requests can be processed without a hearing, saving significant resources and
  time for all parties. Defendants no longer need to take time off of work and lose income or
  arrange childcare costs to attend hearings that are then continued. Indigent and BIPOC
  defendants and their families in particular disproportionately bear these costs. This proposal
  will ensure the continued successful implementation of CrR/RLJ 3.4.
- The rule proposal avoids putting defense counsel in the position of becoming a witness regarding whether their client received notice of a hearing
- The rule proposal is limited only to circumstances where notice of a court hearing was
  provided by defense counsel. If the court has otherwise provided actual notice to the
  defendant of the hearing, the notice requirement is satisfied.

Sent from my iPhone